

Christopher Blosser and the Catholic ADL

A Review of Mr. Blosser's Website

Here we go again. The latest? Christopher Blosser accuses me of intentionally quoting from a Nazi source. In our "CAI News Items," I put up an article by Israel Shamir that was sent to me by a CAI patron ("The Tyranny of Liberalism/Judaism"). Shamir is a Jewish author who critiques Jewish politics and religion, and Mr. Blosser wastes no time trying to discredit Shamir by noting that in one of Shamir's dozens of quotes he happens to make reference to a Carl Schmitt who Blosser says "was a dubious Catholic legal scholar with an active role in the Third Reich." Conclusion: Mr. Blosser indirectly accuses me of promoting Nazism and spreads his tripe over the Internet.

As the good Catholic he purports to be, does Mr. Blosser contact me, a fellow Catholic, to find out what the real truth is? If he did, he would have found out we had no such intentions. No, as he always does, he puts out this fodder on his website to stir up suspicion against me, inciting people to anger who know even less than he does about these particular issues.

When I was alerted to Mr. Blosser's website, I told Chris Campbell (our CAI promotional director) to ask Mr. Blosser if he would like to debate this and other Jewish issues in a public forum. Mr. Blosser returned the email stating: "debating is not my forte." So now we see the game rules with which Mr. Blosser is playing. It's ok for Mr. Blosser to post reputation-damaging material on his website about a prominent Catholic apologist, but when he is called on to defend himself in public he suddenly remembers he has no such talent.

For the record, let's examine Mr. Blosser's accusations. Blosser says Carl Schmitt "had an active role in the Third Reich." But the website he gives for verification (which is written by a Jewish author no less), never says that Schmitt "had an active role in the Third Reich." The closest it says is that he had "ties to Nazi ideology and party."

http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/biography/Carl_Schmitt.html)

So according to even this Jewish author, Schmitt was not actually IN the Nazi party, much less had an "active role" in the party. In fact, in a closing paragraph, the Jewish author admits:

"the SS publication Das schwarze Korps accused Schmitt of being an opportunist and called his anti-semitism a mere mock-up, citing earlier statements in which he criticized the Nazi's racial theories."

So which is it, Mr. Blosser? Was Schmitt anti-semite or anti-Nazi? Curious minds want to know. Incidentally, the same paragraph also says Schmitt

"was the chairman of a law teachers' convention in Berlin in October 1936, where he demanded that German law be cleansed from the 'Jewish spirit' ('jüdischem Geist')."

This is interpreted by the Jewish author as Schmitt “present[ing] himself as a radical anti-semite.” Really now! Just because Schmitt doesn’t like how Jewish politics was trying to dominate Europe, this Jewish author classes him as an anti-semite!

Perhaps Mr. Blosser should talk to another one of my opportunistic critics, Jacob Michael, who never spares of making outlandish accusations against me. In Mr. Michael’s new release, “Never Revoked by God,” he says that Judaism, singly and prominently, is the Beast of the Apocalypse (page 168). Imagine that! I wonder what Abe Foxman of the ADL thinks about Mr. Michael’s new apocalyptic interpretations. Perhaps Mr. Michael should write Foxman a letter and tell him of his newfound exegesis. We know what Mr. Foxman would respond to Mr. Michael: six words: “You are an anti-semite, Mr. Michael.” Since Mr. Blosser seems to be such an expert on these issues, perhaps he should tell us how we should separate Mr. Michael’s aversion to “beastly Judaism” from Schmitt’s aversion to the “Jewish spirit.” But since Mr. Blosser, by his own admission, doesn’t want to debate these issues in public, perhaps we will never hear an explanation. (Nevertheless, if you would like to read my critical review of Mr. Michael’s efforts at writing a book, go to <http://www.catholicintl.com/book-recommendation/nrbg.pdf>).

In order to back up his accusations, Mr. Blosser then cites the website of Jacob Prasch, a Jew who converted to Protestant Christianity. Mr. Prasch doesn’t like me or CAI because we dethroned his anti-papal remarks. Mr. Prasch then created a whole dossier accusing the Catholic hierarchy of being compliant with the Nazis. Now get this: Blosser then uses Prasch’s dossier to say the following:

“One can only pray that this enraged anti-papist will not limit his impression of Rome and (and the Church's contemporary attitude toward Jews) to a modern Catholic apologist with a penchant for quoting anti-semites.”

Note first that I had nothing to do with the photos Mr. Prasch shows of the Catholic hierarchy standing with Adolf Hitler and shaking hands with Nazis, yet Mr. Blosser implies that Mr. Prasch is getting impressions of Catholic/Nazism collaboration from me! Second, let’s see the double standard with which Mr. Blosser is working. One will need to see Mr. Prasch’s website (noted below). Any person who is not educated as to the truth behind what appears to be Catholic and Nazi collaboration, would walk away being convinced that the Vatican and the Nazis were deeply involved with one another and supported one another.

http://www.moriel.org/articles/notice_board/robert_sungenis_anti_semite.htm

We Catholics know this is hogwash. Yet Mr. Blosser does the same thing to me that he condemns Mr. Prasch for doing to the Vatican. Mr. Blosser has been putting up all kinds of Nazi-type accusations against me for the last several years just as Mr. Prasch puts up against the Vatican, yet Blosser defends the Vatican against Mr. Prasch’s charges but continues the calumny against me, even when I have vociferously denied the charges or make accommodations to his criticisms. Unlike the Catholic hierarchy, I never had my

picture taken with Nazis, never shook hands with them, never said anything to endorse or defend them. In fact, I repudiate everything the Nazis stand for, and I have repeatedly said so. Likewise, I have vehemently denied being “anti-semitic” since I have absolutely no animosity to the Jews as a race of people. But never once has Mr. Blosser come to my defense. In fact, he knit-picks his way through my website to see if he can find anything that he can exploit for his own purposes, the latest being Shamir’s citation of Schmitt.

Another incident occurred a few months ago. Leon Suprenant, president of CUF, accused me of having “anti-semitic material on my website.” Dr. Edgar Suter was a witness to the whole conversation. So I asked Mr. Suprenant what material he considered “anti-semitic.” I told him that if there was any such material, I would remove it immediately (just as, incidentally, I removed Shamir’s article a few days ago because I didn’t want to give anyone the idea that I condone Nazism, even though Mr. Blosser’s accusations are without merit). Mr. Suprenant said he wasn’t going to respond to my inquiry. So here we have the president of a prominent Catholic institution making charges against a prominent Catholic apologist that he won’t back up with evidence. Like Mr. Blosser, Mr. Suprenant chooses not to debate the issues; rather, he thinks that just throwing out unsubstantiated charges against a fellow Catholic is quite acceptable, as long as the subject matter concerns the Jews. And when I point out Mr. Suprenant’s duplicity, Mr. Blosser’s colleagues just dismiss it out of hand and blame me for getting Mr. Suprenant involved.

And I see Mr. Forrest is still up to his old tricks as well. One of his latest attempts to diffuse the Judas-like manner in which he departed CAI and to convince the world not to listen to me when I critique Jewish matters was to enlist the services of the person who invited him to speak in March 2005 to say that Mr. Forrest wasn’t going to play any music at his speaking engagement. The implication, of course, is that my whole story about Mr. Forrest’s traitorous departure from CAI must be wrong because I didn’t remember this picayune detail. The fact is, Mr. Forrest told me on the phone that he was also planning to play his guitar and sing for that event, and that there would be other events in which he would be doing so in the future. That being the case, Forrest asked me to take down Jewish material from my website so that no one would think he was anti-semitic (material, mind you, that Mr. Forrest had already approved beforehand). When I refused, Mr. Forrest yelled to me on the phone that I was an anti-semite. That is when I subsequently fired him. My wife was a witness to the whole fiasco. No one else was an eyewitness, including the former CAI volunteers that Mr. Forrest muscled into supporting him, including Mr. Michael and Mr. Palm who think they are such experts on this issue but base it on information that only Mr. Forrest feeds them. Both Mr. Michael and Mr. Palm have refused to hear my side of the story from me, but they have spent hours on the phone and in private email discussions with Forrest.

It’s quite ironic to see these men get so fixated on me when, in fact, they give people like Roy Schoeman a free pass to say just about any heretical thing he wants, including such things as “the New Covenant didn’t replace the Old Covenant,” and the “Catholic Church has erred for 2000 years” and many other ridiculous things about Catholicism and the Jews. We haven’t heard one word of criticism from Forrest, Michael, Palm, Blosser, Miesel, Shea or any other members of the Catholic ADL about Schoeman. There is stone, cold silence. Schoeman, and his colleague David Moss, are untouchable. Why? Because these Catholic hypocrites know that if they levy any criticism against

Schoeman or Moss they will be classed as “anti-semitic,” and unfortunately, many in the Catholic world today fear that epithet more than they fear God himself. Schoeman can even claim that the Blessed Mother “talked to him” and “answered his questions,” but no one even raises an eyebrow. Catholic apologists across the world have cowered before these Jewish converts.

Not only that, but after the fiasco of Cardinal Keeler’s *Covenant and Missions* statement of 2002 (e.g., “the Jews have their own covenant with God and should not be targeted for conversion to Christianity”), the USCCB continues to spew out erroneous material about the Jews – the most recent one being the US Catechism’s statement: “the covenant that God made with the Jewish people through Moses remains eternally valid for them” – but do we hear any criticism from Catholic apologists? Not a word. Let me say hear and now: any bishop, priest or Catholic apologist who teaches or condones the teaching that the Mosaic covenant is still valid for the Jews is preaching heresy. Scripture, Tradition and the Magisterium have been quite clear that the Mosaic covenant was annulled. It no longer exists. The Jews don’t have their own covenant with God. There is only one covenant existing today, and that is the New Covenant in Jesus Christ. All others are bogus and heretical. See Hebrew 7:18; 10:9; 2Cor 3:6-14; Gal 3:19; 5:1-4).

So what’s really happening here folks? It’s the same old thing: these people simply don’t like the fact that I will defend the Catholic Church by entering the forbidden area of critiquing the Jews. They have already decided that the Jewish people are favored by God and thus any attacks on their politics, religion, life-style, etc., simply will not be tolerated. It is a Catholic ADL pure and simple.

As noted, Mr. Blosser’s favorite method of attack is to see if he can find a source upon which he can cast even the slightest doubt and suspicion (e.g., the Shamir/Schmitt issue) and thereby imply to his readers that the whole article is false. Never mind the reams of factual information and the insightful commentary I bring to these issues. If there is just one source that is “questionable,” my critics will use this in an attempt to discredit the whole article, and then label me with the disgusting epithet “anti-semitic.” They know I have a reputation for producing material with voluminous and impeccable sources, so they try to undermine this scholarship by knit-picking through my articles. Of course, these “questionable” sources turn out to be just like the one that Blosser cited from Schmitt – all ado about nothing.

Recently, CAI posted a dialogue I had with a prominent leader of the USCCB. It is a very revealing exposé on the errors of the current crop of liberals in the USCCB who have become, for lack of a better term, lackeys for Zionism and Judaism. The USCCB’s utter distortions of both Scripture and Catholic tradition are absolutely astounding to watch. This is the first time that someone has made an in depth investigation of the USCCB mentality on this issue. But was there any recognition of this from Blosser and his friends? No, not a word. I can only assume that he and his colleagues are not really interested in the truth. They are only interested in one thing: keeping up their Neocon/Zionist political and religious ideology and they will attempt to destroy anyone who gets in their way. So be it. We are ready for the fight.

As we do so, CAI is going to make a concerted effort to clear up the so-called “source problem,” as miniscule as it is. I’ve assigned my capable assistant, Mr. Benjamin Douglas (who is also known for his impeccable scholarship) to be the fact-checker and source-exonerator for CAI articles on Jewish issues. Ben has graciously accepted the job

and I know he will do excellently. This means that we will continue to put up articles and essays critical (and even supportive) of things Jewish on the CAI website, and we might do so even more frequently than we've done in the past. Since Ben is so good at what he does, we plan to silence the "source critics" once and for all.

And, once and for all, I suggest my critics start listening to what I am saying about the anti-Christ, anti-Catholic and anti-Christian influence that various Jewish organizations are having on us, including but not limited to the Anti-Defamation League, the World Jewish Congress, the Jewish-dominated media, rabbis across the US and the world, Zionist-financed Catholic periodicals (e.g., *First Things*, etc.), Jewish political commentators (e.g., Michael Medved, Bill Kristol, etc.), the Jewish lobby, Protestant Zionists (e.g., Pat Robertson, John Hagee, etc), the Association of Hebrew Catholics (e.g., David Moss, Roy Schoeman, etc.), and any other such organization that puts Jewish political, religious and social interests above those of the Catholic faith and the rest of the world.

Lastly, to all my "Jewish" critics out there, it's time for you to face reality. On October 16, 2004, President George Bush signed into law the *Global Anti-Semitism Review Act* under the U.S. State Department. The head of this new post is Gregg Rickman, as of May 22, 2006. In introducing Mr. Rickman to the job, the State Department gave him the *Report on Global Anti-Semitism* soon after his installation. It contains 12 descriptions of "anti-semitism." Number 4 on the list says the following:

"Criticism of the Jewish religion or its religious leaders or literature (especially the Talmud and Kabbalah) is anti-Semitic."

Number 7 says:

"Blaming Jewish leaders and their followers for inciting the Roman crucifixion of Christ is anti-Semitic."

So, it looks like every good Catholic who is faithful to his religion is now classed as an anti-smite by the U.S. government. If you criticize the blatantly anti-Christian literature known as the Talmud, you are "anti-semitic." If you even say an unkind word about the preposterous mystical musings of Jewish sages who wrote the Kabbalah, you are an "anti-semite." (NB: even Madonna got fed up with the Kabbalah, and refused to give any more of her millions to this money-grubbing institution). If you, like so many of our past popes, saints and theologians, suggest for a moment that Jewish leaders of the first century instigated the death of Jesus Christ, you are an "anti-semite," all courtesy of the U.S. government. If you think about it, it turns out that the very country that claims it separates Church and State is now the very country that protects one religion above all others. It is a fact that Judaism is now protected like no other religion in the history of the United States, complete with laws against anyone who would dare criticize it. It is our first State-protected, State-advanced, religion. My, my, they certainly have some chutzpah, don't they? I wonder what George Washington would say?

And who was behind this blatant censorship of our freedom of speech? That's right, the ADL and the Jewish lobby in Congress. And do you know what is going to happen if these Jewish lobbyists get Congress to enforce this and other "Hate Crime"

bills? Anyone who transgresses item Nos. 4 and 7 can be arrested and thrown in jail, including Mr. Forrest, Mr. Michael, Mr. Suprenant and Mr. Blosser, not to mention being thrown in jail for transgressing items 1 through 12, which, to varying degrees, are as ludicrous as Nos. 4 and 7. So, if you are wondering why I'm on this warpath, this is it; not to mention that the quagmire we now know as the "War in Iraq" (which has put the whole world on nuclear alert) was instigated mainly by the Jewish advisors in Bush's administration.

I rest my case.

Robert Sungenis, Ph.D.
Catholic Apologetics International
January 15, 2007

Addendum:

Just for the record, Christopher Blosser has left quite a paper trail of his NeoCon/Zionist affiliations and aspirations. Here is a list of them. Judge for yourself.

- He runs a blog with Matthew Anger (but Mr. Anger is too cowardly to put his name on the blog) to alert his audience to people like me who expose his NeoCon/Zionist "FIRST" and Catholicism second, political beliefs.

<http://fringewatcher.blogspot.com>

- Blosser runs another blog titled "Catholic Friends of Israel," and has on his side bar such things as "Anglicans for Israel," "Arabs for Israel," "Christian Friends of Israel," "Scottish Friends of Israel," and just about every "friend" of Israel you can think of. It is safe to say that Mr. Blosser runs a website totally devoted to the cause of Israel, and we find very little on his blog that deals with Catholic theology and practice, or anything critical of Israel or their environs.

<http://cfoiblog.blogspot.com>

- Blosser runs another blog that advances the war in Iraq and US/Israeli hegemony, and this despite the condemnations of the war in Iraq by our last two popes. Blosser's website is a virtual "Who's Who?" among Neocon warmongers and ideologues.

<http://catholicjustwar.blogspot.com>

- Despite Blosser's direct opposition to Pope Benedict's condemnation of the war in Iraq, Blosser runs another blog titled "The Ratzinger Fan Club."

<http://www.ratzingerfanclub.com/blog>

- Blosser runs another blog designed to support NeoCon Catholic Republicans.

<http://www.thepublicsquare.blogspot.com>

Suffice it to say, Mr. Christopher Blosser has a political agenda.